UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,718	04/16/2004	Glen Anderson	P2007US00	2184	
	24333 7590 12/05/2008 GATEWAY, INC.			EXAMINER	
ATTN: Patent Attorney			NGUYEN, PHILLIP H		
610 GATEWAY DRIVE MAIL DROP Y-04		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
N. SIOUX CIT	N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/05/2008	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/826,718	ANDERSON, GLEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 A</u>	ugust 2008				
	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
·	pane Quayie, 1000 0.21 1.1, 10	3 3.3.2.3.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-16,19-37,39-45 and 53 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1-16,19-37,39-45 and 53 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2191

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed 8/27/2008.

2. Claims 1-16, 19-37, 39-45, and 53 remain pending in this application and have been considered below; Claims 1, 5, 8-10, 12, 35, and 42 have been amended; Claims 17, 18, 38, and 46-52 have been cancelled.

#### Response to Amendment

3. Prior objection to claims 5, 8-10, 12, 35, 37, and 46 for using intended use phrases is hereby withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16, 19-37, 39-45 and 53 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2191

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 15, 16, 19, 20, 22-24, 26-30, 33, 35-37, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matyas Jr. et al. (USPN 7,051,211), in view of Huang et al. (USPN 6,553,375).

#### As per claim 1:

Matyas Jr. teaches:

associating, by said download manager, a transaction identifier with selection data indicating the selected software for which <u>up-to-date versions are to be downloaded</u> (see at least col. 9:38-53 "The target data processing system 106 and/or 106' also starts the execution of the unencrypted portion of the software located in the code...The unencrypted portion of the software accepts the information provided by the user and requests authorization to install the software by sending the information, along with the **product ID value** to the software installation server 102"), said selection data being determined at the time of sale of the hardware unit (see at least col. 9:21-24 "with each instance of **sold software** there may also **be associated a secret value A**");

sending a download transaction request comprising the transaction identifier from the download manager to the download supervisor (see at least col. 9:38-53 "The target data processing system 106 and/or 106' also starts the execution of the unencrypted portion of the software located in the code...The unencrypted portion of the software accepts the information provided by the user

Application/Control Number: 10/826,718

Art Unit: 2191

and requests authorization to install the software by **sending** the information, along with **the product ID value to the software installation server 102**"); and

Page 4

responsive to determining, by the download supervisor, that the requested download transaction is authorized (see at least col. 10:6-9 "When the software installation server 102 receives the message over the network 104, it will try to identify the instance of the product and verify that the user is the legitimate customer"), performing the steps of:

assembling, by the download supervisor, a download/installation instruction comprising up-to-date software access information for the selected software (see at least col. 10:20-22 "Upon sending A, the software installation server 102 may assign a new value, A\_new, in the software installation repository 100 to correspond to the (ID, S) pair");

communicating the download/installation instruction from the download supervisor to the download manager (see at least col. 10:14-15 "send the secret value A in the clear to the target data processing system 106 and/or 106"); and

performing, by the download manager, a download and installation of the <u>up-to-date versions</u> of selected software to the hardware unit pursuant to the download/installation instruction (see at least col. 10:37-43 "The decrypted software P may be installed on the target data processing system 106 and/or 106' by the unencrypted portion of the software. Software P will be re-encrypted with the new key K\_new-Hash

Art Unit: 2191

(S,K) and stored on a writable storage media at the target data

processing system 106 and/or 106").

Matyas Jr. does not explicitly teach

downloading up-to-date versions of selected software.

However, Huang teaches

downloading up-to-date versions of selected software (see at least col.

1:51 "synchronization process").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to modify Matyas' invention to allow downloading up-

to-date version of programs (or synchronization process) for maintenance purposes or

adding new features or correcting bugs. One would have been motivated to modify in

order to maintain interoperability, to correct bugs, or to add new features to the older

versions.

As per claim 2:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the transaction identifier comprises a serial number (see at least

col. 8:50-51 "product identification information (ID), S value and A values

associated with particular copies of the software to be controlled").

As per claim 4:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the first software handling machine comprises the hardware unit

(see at least FIGS. 2-3).

As per claim 7:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the first software handling machine comprises a personal

computer (see at least FIGS. 2-3; see also col. 11:62-63 "Such data processing

system may include for example, personal computers, laptop computers...").

As per claims 8 and 37:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the download manager is configured to launch from the hardware

unit (see at least col. 9:34-40 "when the user loads the received software code

into the target data processing system 106 and/or 106', the target data

processing system 106 and/or 106' makes a connection through the network

104, for example, through the Internet, to the software installation server 102.

The target data processing system 106 and/or 106' also start the execution of

the unencrypted portion of the software located in the code").

## As per claim 10:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the download manager is preconfigured to send a download transaction request comprising a predetermined selection of software (see at least col. 9:51-53 "requests authorization to install the software by sending the information, along with the product ID value to the software installation server 102").

## As per claim 11:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the hardware unit is linked to the first software handling machine by a dedicated communications link (see at least FIG. 3; see also col. 12:7-8 "The processor 238 communicates with the memory 236 via an address/data bus 248").

## As per claims 15 and 29:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

storing a record of the download transaction in a central database (see at least col. 8:50-52 "the software installation repository 100 may contain product identification information (ID), S values and A values associated with particular copies of the software to be controlled").

Application/Control Number: 10/826,718

Art Unit: 2191

As per claim 16:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein data comprising the transaction identifier is encrypted (see at

Page 8

least col. 8:38-40 "The values S and A are utilized to derive the encryption key

"K" which may be used to decrypted the encrypted portion of the software").

As per claim 19:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the selection data is determined in whole or in part in an

interactive process (see at least col. 9:51-53 "requests authorization to install the

software by sending the information, along with the product ID value to the

software installation server 102").

As per claim 20:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

obtaining the selection data by a point of sale application (see at least col.

9:22-24 "with each instance of sold software there may also be associated a

secret value A").

As per claim 22:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

Art Unit: 2191

wherein the selection data is determined in whole or in part by looking up the transaction identifier in a central database (see at least col. 10:9-12 "As described above, the (ID, S) pair has a corresponding value A in the software installation repository 100 which the software installation server 102 may access to authorized the installation").

## As per claim 23:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein determining whether the download transaction is authorized comprises evaluating the transaction identifier (see at least col. 10:9-12 "As described above, the (ID, S) pair has a corresponding value A in the software installation repository 100 which the software installation server 102 may access to authorized the installation").

#### As per claim 24:

Matyas further teaches:

interrogating the hardware unit to obtain information comprising preexisting software (see at least col. 9:54-57 "the unencrypted portion of the software might also determine the unique identifier of the target data processing system 106 and/or 106" or other such parameters and send these further parameters to the software installation server 102").

Art Unit: 2191

As per claim 26:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

modifying the selection data in response to the information comprising

preexisting software (see at least col. 14:62-67 - col.15:1-8 "the user's client

computer may read the software code from the medium on which it is received

and store it on a second medium, where the user's client computer has a

capability to read and write information on that second medium (e.g., a file stored

on a hard disk). If the software code is received on a medium such that the

user's client computer can read and write information to that medium, then the

user's client computer may have the option to leave the software code on the

received medium or alternatively read software code form the medium and store

it on the second read-write medium...").

As per claims 27 and 36:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the software access information comprises an authentication

code for activating or downloading software (see at least col. 10:9-12 "As

described above, the (ID, S) pair has a corresponding value A in the software

installation repository 100 which the software installation server 102 may

access to authorized the installation").

As per claim 28:

Application/Control Number: 10/826,718

Art Unit: 2191

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the authentication code is provided by an authentication

Page 11

subsystem of the download supervisor (see at least col. 9:51-53 "request

authorization to install the software by sending the information, along with the

product ID value to the software installation server 102").

As per claim 30:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the download transaction data comprises a download transaction

status (see at least col. 16:20-30 "if the user knows that this software can be

installed 10 times, the software could be installed 9 times and then the user claim

that there was a crash, obtain the original A value and then install the software 9

more times, and so on. To combat this attack the installation server may

maintain the following values: A\_original, A\_current, M, N, M\_max and N\_max,

where M max is the number of times the original A value can be sent, M is the

number of times it has been sent, N max is the number of installations allowed

and N is the number installation so far M max, N max should be greater than

0").

As per claims 33 and 41:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the software is data comprising data related to services (the software must be related to services in order to fulfill the purpose of software distribution from the vendor to clients).

#### As per claim 35:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

a plurality of software vendor download servers in the network for providing up-to-date versions of selected software from the plurality of software vendors (see at least col. 9:26-29 "The software installation server 102, operated on behalf of the software provider, may access the software installation repository 100 and, thereby, may possess the knowledge of every unit of software that was generated (and possibly sold)");

a first software handling machine in the network and linked to the hardware unit, the first software handling machine executing a download manager, the download manager initiating a download/installation transaction comprising selected software to be downloaded to the hardware unit from one or more of the plurality of software vendor download servers, sending a transaction identifier in a download transaction request to a download supervisor over the network (see at least col. 9:38-53 "The target data processing system 106 and/or 106' also starts the execution of the unencrypted portion of the software located in the code...The unencrypted portion of the software accepts the information provided by the user and requests authorization to install the software by Art Unit: 2191

sending the information, along with the product ID value to the software installation server 102"), and downloading and installing the selected software to the hardware unit pursuant to a download/installation instruction received in response to the download transaction request, said selected software being determined at the time of sale of the hardware unit (see at least col. 10:37-43 "The decrypted software P may be installed on the target data processing system 106 and/or 106' by the unencrypted portion of the software. Software P will be re-encrypted with the new key K\_new-Hash (S,K) and stored on a writable storage media at the target data processing system 106 and/or 106"); and

a second software handling machine in the network executing the download supervisor, the download supervisor determining whether the download transaction request is authorized (see at least col. 10:6-9 "When the software installation server 102 receives the message over the network 104, it will try to identify the instance of the product and verify that the user is the legitimate customer"), and, in response to determining that the transaction is authorized, assembling a download/installation instruction comprising up-to-date software access information for the selected software and to send the download/installation instruction to the download manager (see at least col. 10:14-15 "send the secret value A in the clear to the target data processing system 106 and/or 106").

Matyas does not explicitly teach

wherein the first software handling machine is linked to the hardware unit by an external bus, and wherein the download manager executes upon detecting that the hardware unit is linked to the first software handling machine by said external bus.

However, Huang teaches

wherein the first software handling machine is linked to the hardware unit by an external bus, and wherein the download manager executes upon detecting that the hardware unit is linked to the first software handling machine by said external bus (see at least col. 1:51-65 "Handheld devices install applications through the synchronization process which is typically performed between the device and a PC. First, the application must be accessible by the PC which, through its serial port, is connected to the target handheld device. The PC software may be executed to load the target application into an "install" directory accessible by the synchronization manager software. The synchronization process is initiated by pushing a handheld device button, such as the HotSync Manager used with Palm Pilot's, in the PC takes over the synchronization process during which the application loaded in the "install" directory are download and installed into the handheld device").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that synchronizing (i.e. updating) to a handheld device is well known and would modify matyas's approach to further allow the software download from the server to be downloaded to the handheld device (i.e. hardware unit). One would have been motivated to do in order to provide the updated versions of software in the handheld device or to correct bugs or to add new features to the software installed in the handheld device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 3, 5, 6, 9, 39, and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matyas Jr. et al. (USPN 7,051,211), in view of DaCosta et al. (USPAPN 2002/0120725).

## As per claims 3 and 39:

Matyas Jr. does not explicitly teach:

wherein the software access information comprises a network address for a download server.

However, DaCosta teaches:

Art Unit: 2191

wherein the software access information comprises a network address for a download server (see at least [0010] "the address information (e.g., a Web sit address) is provided each time the application is booted up or updated").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Matyas's approach to include the teaching of DaCosta. One would have been motivated to modify because the updates can be automatically retrieved each time the computer system is booted up or used, the updates can be distributed in a timely manner without inconveniencing the user. Significantly, applications can be automatically updated without having to execute the applications and transparent to the user, so that the user is not diverted from completing the task at hand (see at least [0016]).

#### As per claims 5, 9:

Matyas Jr. does not explicitly teach:

wherein the download manager is configured to execute in a boot sequence of the first software handling machine.

However, DaCosta teaches:

wherein the download manager is configured to execute in a boot sequence of the first software handling machine (see at least [0030] "Agent 205 is a software program or set of computer-readable program instructions that implements the present invention method for updating applications. In one embodiment, agent 205 is the boot loader that executes during boot up of

computer system 190 (the boot loader runs at startup to initialize and configure

system hardware)").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to modify Matyas's approach to include the teach of

DaCosta. One would have been motivated to modify because the updates can be

automatically retrieved each time the computer system is booted up or used, the

updates can be distributed in a timely manner without inconveniencing the user.

Significantly, applications can be automatically updated without having to execute the

applications and transparent to the user, so that the user is not diverted from completing

the task at hand (see at least [0016]).

As per claim 6:

Matyas Jr. in combination with DaCosta teaches all the limitations of the base

claim, Matyas further teaches:

wherein the download manager loads from a removable storage media

(see at least col. 14:53-58 "In certain embodiment of the present invention, the

software code may be received on a read-only storage medium. For example,

the software code could be received on a Compact Disk (CD) and the user's

client computer may have only a capability to read information stored on the CD

but not write information on the CD").

As per claim 42:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

means to provide a transaction identifier and software selection data to a download supervisor in the network to enable the download supervisor to identify and validate the download transaction (see at least col. 9:38-53 "The target data processing system 106 and/or 106' also starts the execution of the unencrypted portion of the software located in the code...The unencrypted portion of the software accepts the information provided by the user and requests authorization to install the software by sending the information, along with the product ID value to the software installation server 102");

means to receive a communication from the download supervisor comprising a download/installation instruction that includes up-to-date software access information for the selected software of the download transaction, said software selection data being determined at the time of sale of the hardware unit (see at least col. 10:14-15 "send the secret value A in the clear to the target data processing system 106 and/or 106"); and

means to perform the download and installation of the selected software to the hardware unit according to the download/installation instruction (see at least col. 10:37-43 "The decrypted software P may be installed on the target data processing system 106 and/or 106' by the unencrypted portion of the software. Software P will be re-encrypted with the new key K\_new-Hash (S,K) and stored on a writable storage media at the target data processing system 106 and/or 106").

Art Unit: 2191

Matyas Jr. does not explicitly teach:

means to initiate the download manager during a boot sequence of the

hardware unit.

However, DaCosta teaches:

means to initiate the download manager during a boot sequence of the

hardware unit (see at least [0030] "Agent 205 is a software program or set of

computer-readable program instructions that implements the present invention

method for updating applications. In one embodiment, agent 205 is the boot

loader that executes during boot up of computer system 190 (the boot

loader runs at startup to initialize and configure system hardware)").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to modify Matyas's approach to include the teach of

DaCosta. One would have been motivated to modify because the updates can be

automatically retrieved each time the computer system is booted up or used, the

updates can be distributed in a timely manner without inconveniencing the user.

Significantly, applications can be automatically updated without having to execute the

applications and transparent to the user, so that the user is not diverted from completing

the task at hand (see at least [0016]).

As per claim 43:

Matyas Jr. in combination with DaCosta teaches all the limitations of the base claim, DaCosta further teaches:

wherein the means to initiate the download manager during a boot sequence of the hardware unit comprises executing a bootstrap loader to establish basic connectivity and download functions for the hardware unit in order to load a program to which the bootstrap loader hand off control (see at least [0030] "Agent 205 is a software program or set of computer-readable program instructions that implements the present invention method for updating applications. In one embodiment, agent 205 is the boot loader that executes during boot up of computer system 190 (the boot loader runs at startup to initialize and configure system hardware)").

#### As per claim 44:

Matyas Jr. in combination with DaCosta teaches all the limitations of the base claim, Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the selected software may be selected or modified by a user in an interactive process (see at least col. 14:62-67 – col.15:1-8 "the user's client computer may read the software code from the medium on which it is received and store it on a second medium, where the user's client computer has a capability to read and write information on that second medium (e.g., a file stored on a hard disk). If the software code is received on a medium such that the user's client computer can read and write information to that medium, then the

user's client computer may have the option to leave the software code on the

Page 21

received medium or alternatively read software code form the medium and store

it on the second read-write medium...").

As per claim 45:

Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the selected software comprises a predetermined selection (see

at least col. 9:51-53 "requests authorization to install the software by sending the

information, along with the product ID value to the software installation

server 102").

9. Claims 12-14, 21, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Matyas Jr. et al. (USPN 7,051,211), in view of Gulliver et al. (USPAN

2004/0054597).

As per claim 12:

Matyas Jr. does not explicitly teach:

wherein the download manager is configured to execute upon detecting

that the hardware unit is linked to the first software handling machine by the

dedicated communication communications link.

However, Gulliver teaches:

wherein the download manager is configured to execute upon detecting that the hardware unit is linked to the first software handling machine by the dedicated communication communications link (see at least [0023] "The utility itself can be in the form of an applet that is automatically launched when the PDA 26 is booted up").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Matyas Jr.'s approach to include the teaching of Gulliver. One would have been motivated to modify because it provides an automatically downloading without user interaction.

As per claim 13:

Matyas Jr. in combination with Gulliver teaches all the limitations of the base claim, Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the hardware unit is linked to the first software handling machine over a network comprising a local area network (see at least col. 8:61-67 "The network 104 may be an intranet, an extranet, a virtual private network, the internet").

As per claim 14:

Matyas Jr. in combination with Gulliver teaches all the limitations of the base claim, Matyas Jr. further teaches:

wherein the network comprises the Internet (see at least col. 8:61-67 "The network 104 may be an intranet, an extranet, a virtual private network, the internet").

#### As per claims 21 and 53:

Matyas Jr. does not explicitly teach:

wherein obtaining the selection data by a point of sale application comprises providing an automated kiosk for selecting software and recording the selections for a download transaction.

However, Gulliver teaches:

wherein obtaining the selection data by a point of sale application comprises providing an automated kiosk for selecting software and recording the selections for a download transaction (see at least FIG. 1; see also [0017] "a computer kiosk 12 which is located in a retail store 14... contains demonstration versions of software and, if desired, full version of the software").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Matyas Jr.'s approach to include a computer kiosk to allow the user to select and recording the selection of software for download. One would have been motivated to modify because the computer kiosk provides an easy and convenient way to select and download software.

Art Unit: 2191

10. Claims 25, 33, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Matyas Jr. et al. (USPN 7,051,211).

## As per claim 25:

Matyas Jr. does not explicitly teach:

wherein interrogating the hardware unit to obtain information comprising

preexisting software comprises executing a Desktop Management Interface.

However, official notice is taken that using Desktop Management Interface is well

known to the art at the time the invention was made. One would have been motivated

to use Desktop Management Interface to provide information about the BIOS and the

computer system to the user in a standardized way.

#### As per claims 33 and 40:

Matyas Jr. does not explicitly teach:

wherein the software is data comprising music, images, and video.

However, official notice is taken that downloading music, image, and video are

well known to the art at the time the invention was made. Software comprises music,

image, video, game, etc. One would have been motivated to modify Matyas Jr.'s

approach to allow downloading music, image, and video to the client computer in order

to fulfill the client's needs and desires.

Art Unit: 2191

11. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matyas Jr. et al. (USPN 7,051,211), in view of Kato et al. (USPN 6,470,496).

## As per claims 31 and 32:

Matyas does not explicitly teach:

wherein the download transaction status comprises a transaction hold status.

However, Kato teaches:

wherein the download transaction status comprises a transaction hold status (see at least col. 18:27-41 "The status holding unit 158 holds a status value that shows one out of: status 1 from the start of downloading the new control program until the complete transfer of the new download program to the control program storing unit 152...").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Matyas Jr.'s approach to include transaction hold status taught by Kato. One would have been motivated to modify because it provides a status value that shows the start of downloading until the complete transfer of the download.

Art Unit: 2191

#### Correspondence Information

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 270-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10:00 AM - 3:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Y. Zhen can be reached on (571) 272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2191

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PN 11/17/2008

/Wei Y Zhen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2191